

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,096	07/08/2003	Dmitry Oleynikov	UNMC/0006	9525	
7:	590 02/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Moser, Patterson & Sheridan, LLP Suite 1500			IP, SHIK LUEN PAUL		
3040 Post Oak	Blvd.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Houston, TX	77056-6582		2837		
•			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2004	ς .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				/1 '}
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/616,096	OLEYNIKOV ET AL.	
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Paul Ip	2837	
The MAILING DATE of a Period for Reply	his communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATION Ier the provisions of 37 CFF date of this communication less than thirty (30) days, a the maximum statutory pe d period for reply will, by st an three months after the m	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the communica	ation.
Status				
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 2	1 December 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)□ 7	This action is non-final.		•
•		wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merit D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims		•		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are al 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14,16-19 a</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are ol	i) is/are with lowed. nd 23-28 is/are reje pjected to.	drawn from consideration.	,	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is object	cted to by the Exan	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ :	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
•		the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
	• • •	•	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
2. Certified copies o3. Copies of the cert application from the	None of: f the priority docum f the priority docum ified copies of the priority ified International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)	32)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (F10-os 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-14, 16-19, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mullick et al. (US 2003/0167000 A1, Provisional Application No. 60/180,960 filed on Feb. 8, 2000).

With respect to claims 1, 13, 14, 16-17, 23, 24, 26, 27, and 28, Mullick et al show in figures 2-14B mobile micro-robots for use inside an animal or human body during minimally invasive surgery or diagnostic operations. Mullick et al show in figure 1 a body in the form as an ingestible capsule 12, a mobilization element (see figures 6 and 7 elements 140, 142, 160), a remote control device [0071], a manipulator arm (see figure 10 element 300 and figure 11 element 400) [0080], a power supply 22, and at least one sensor 14 (see the abstract).

With respect to claim 3, Mullick et al show in figures 2-14B that the micro-robot body is shaped like a cylinder.

With respect to claims 4-6, Mullick et al show in figure 6 the wheels 140 and 142 have treads.

With respect to claims 7-9, 18, and 19, Mullick et al show in figure 1 that the remote control is wireless.

With respect to claims 10-11, Mullick et al show in figure 6 wheels 140 and 142. Mullick et al disclose at [0071] the wheels but silent about the actuator. However, it is inherent to use the actuator or motor such as brushless direct current motor or permanent magnet motor as recited in the claim for the best result of the mobility functions.

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to claim 12, Mullick et al show in figure 1 the internal power supply. Since the mobile micro-robot is used inside an animal body as recited at the preamble, it defeats the purpose of remote control with an external power supply, it is not limit Mullick et al's internal power supply cannot be used for external.

With respect to claim 25, Mullick et al show in figure 11 that the arm is articulated.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-14, 16-19, and 23-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Kim et al fails to disclose a manipulator arm having a free end movable to assist in surgical taskes. Mullick et al teaches and suggests a free end movable arm can include an extendable biopsy forceps...etc See paragraphs [0080] [0081].

Furthermore, the claims of the invention recite a micro robot structure, such as shown in the scientific movie journey in human body for performing diagnostic operation or therapeutic operation, the micro robot is well known in the art. The claims fail to particular define the structures as shown in figures 1-5 and 8-15 of the invention.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Citation of Pertinent References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents/publications to Sunaoshi 2004/0254680, Kim et al 2002/0173700, Kim et al 2002/0171385, Kim et al 2002/0111535, Sproul 6,832,988, Kim et al 6,824,510, Kim et al

6,824,508, Kim et al 6,702,734, and Salcudean et al 5,382,885, disclose micro-robot/robot control device with articulated arm for surgical operations.

Communication Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Ip whose telephone number is (571)-272-1941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (571)-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Ip

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837

Paul of